

To: StClair, Christie[StClair.Christie@epa.gov]
From: Daguillard, Robert
Sent: Fri 8/14/2015 11:36:19 PM
Subject: Re: NEW FOR RICH - EOC/JIC Action; The Guardian; Is this a response we can use re: questions about the abandoned mines in the US or in Utah or elsewhere?

Btw, part of our response to the Denver Post (no Plan to put GKM on NPL), could help here.

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 14, 2015, at 4:56 PM, StClair, Christie <StClair.Christie@epa.gov> wrote:

Rich, can you tackle these?

Christie St. Clair

Office of Public Affairs

Environmental Protection Agency

Washington, DC

o: 202-564-2880

m: 202-768-5780

From: Daguillard, Robert
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 10:33 AM
To: StClair, Christie; Press
Subject: RE: EOC/JIC Action; The Guardian; Is this a response we can use re: questions about the abandoned mines in the US or in Utah or elsewhere?

Well, probably. These questions seem to be more in your court. LMK:

“It has been reported that the EPA has been trying to designate the area as a superfund area for some time. When was the idea first floated? Why was it unsuccessful? Does the EPA believe the spill would have occurred regardless of the lack of superfund designation? Would that have changed the way the clean up was undertaken (ie would there have been more money available and therefore less likelihood of a failure?)?”

From: StClair, Christie

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 10:25 AM

To: Daguillard, Robert; Press

Subject: Re: EOC/JIC Action; The Guardian; Is this a response we can use re: questions about the abandoned mines in the US or in Utah or elsewhere?

So do I need to do something here?

Christie St. Clair

Office of Public Affairs

Environmental Protection Agency

o: 202-564-2880

m: 202-768-5780

On Aug 14, 2015, at 7:27 AM, "Robert Daguillard" <Daguillard.Robert@epamail.epa.gov> wrote:

Lisa, I don't have a prepared response on this and I'm sure this is fine to use for Q and A.

What matters to me is getting at least the OSWER part of this inquiry, the one dealing with abandoned mines across the US, to this reporter as soon as possible. The reporter has been waiting for a response for one week.

Obviously, it's great if JIC – presumably? - can respond to his questions on Animas River, but can we PLEASE get approval for the paragraph Nancy Cohen sent? Again, I forwarded this on Monday.

Thanks all, R.

From: McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2015 5:57 PM
To: Daguillard, Robert
Cc: Lee, Monica
Subject: Is this a response we can use re: questions about the abandoned mines in the US or in Utah or elsewhere?

Or do you have a prepared response on it? We are getting some of these and it seems someone in OSWER could address these more general questions or that we could send out a statement like this one. Perhaps for the Q&A doc as well?

Lisa McClain-Vanderpool
Public Affairs Specialist/Media Officer
Office of Communications and Public Involvement
US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, Colorado 80202
Office 303.312.6077
Cell 303.501.4027

From: Daguillard, Robert
Sent: Tuesday, August 11, 2015 5:48 AM
To: Cohen, Nancy; Smith, Paula; Faulk, Libby; McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa; Mylott, Richard
Subject: RE: OSWER, R8 Action; Guardian; DDL COB; Aminos River Spill

Thanks again, Nancy.

From: Cohen, Nancy
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2015 6:24 PM
To: Daguillard, Robert; Smith, Paula; Faulk, Libby; McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa; Mylott, Richard
Subject: RE: OSWER, R8 Action; Guardian; DDL COB; Aminos River Spill

Robert: here's our input . . .

EPA routinely monitors hardrock mine sites that are placed on the Superfund National Priorities List (NPL). However, EPA does not maintain records of the number of mines or tailings dams in the US. Tailings dam safety falls under the jurisdiction of State Dam Safety Agencies, and the Federal Land Management Agencies (e.g., Bureau of Land Management, Fish and Wildlife Service, National Park Service and the Forest Service) that have jurisdiction for monitoring the dams on federal lands. EPA does investigate or respond to incidents that are brought to our attention. In August 1994, the EPA report entitled "-Technical Report: Design and Evaluation of Tailings Dams" noted that "EPA estimates that there may be several thousand tailings impoundments associated with active non-coal mining, and tens of thousands of inactive or abandoned impoundments."

Thanks, nancy

Nancy Cohen

U.S. EPA OSWER

202.566.0171

From: Daguillard, Robert
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2015 11:31 AM
To: Smith, Paula; Faulk, Libby; McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa; Mylott, Richard; Cohen, Nancy
Subject: OSWER, R8 Action; Guardian; DDL COB; Aminos River Spill

All, I've sent this inquiry to Region 8 already, but it looks as though OSWER might

want to take a look at the first two questions. Please let me know.

If we could get a response by COB Today, the reporter could run the story in tomorrow's paper. Please advise. Thanks, R.

From: Karl Mathiesen
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2015 7:45 AM
To: Daguillard, Robert
Subject: Guardian media enquiry

Hi Robert,

I'm a journalist with the Guardian writing a piece on the release from the Gold King Mine in Colorado.

I have a few questions on the background of the situation. Please do your best to answer them all.

On the general state of tailings dams and mines. How many such storage facilities are there across the country? How does the EPA monitor the maintenance and condition of mines and dams that are holding contaminated water? Are abandoned mines, like Gold King, monitored?

It has been reported that the EPA has been trying to designate the area as a superfund area for some time. When was the idea first floated? Why was it unsuccessful? Does the EPA believe the spill would have occurred regardless of the lack of superfund designation? Would that have changed the way the clean up was undertaken (ie would there have been more money available and therefore less likelihood of a failure?)?

Best,

Karl

--

Karl Mathiesen

London